

Agrammatic Grammar

Agrammatic Grammar

Functional categories in agrammatic speech

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Dr. W.A. Wagenaar,
hoogleraar in de faculteit der Sociale Wetenschappen,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op maandag 31 mei 1999
te klokke 14.15 uur

door

Esterella Maria de Roo

geboren te Den Haag in 1967

Promotiecommissie

promotor: Prof. dr. J.G. Kooij
co-promotores: Dr. H.J. Bennis
Dr. L. Blomert (Universiteit Maastricht)
referent: Dr. F.N.K. Wijnen (Universiteit Utrecht)
overige leden: Prof. dr. G.A.M. Kempen
Prof. dr. Y.R.M. Bastiaanse (Universiteit Groningen)
Prof. dr. H.H.J. Kolk (Universiteit Nijmegen)

Published by

THESUS

Holland Academic Graphics
P.O. Box 53292
2505 AG The Hague
The Netherlands

phone: +31 70 3595110
fax: +31 70 3595044
e-mail: info@hagpub.com
[http: www.thesus.com](http://www.thesus.com)

Cover illustration:

Piet Mondriaan, *Compositie in lijn, eerste staat*, 1916/1917

ISBN 90-5569-093-7
NUGI 941

Copyright © 1999 by Esterella de Roo. All rights reserved.

Printed by Holland Academic Graphics, The Hague.

Voor mijn zoon Roman

Acknowledgements

When I entered the department of Dutch in 1985, I was firmly convinced that I would write an undergraduate thesis on Dutch literature. However, one class of Hans Bennis on Dutch syntax was sufficient to make me change my mind. I graduated in linguistics, and now I even finished a dissertation in linguistics. I never regretted this change.

I like to thank all the colleagues of the linguistics department in Leiden for the friendly atmosphere they created, and especially Karin Robbers, Anthi Revithiadou and Veronique van Gelderen, with whom I shared an office. All kinds of help were provided by José Birker, Jeroen van de Weijer and Crit Cremers.

Much time of my research project has been devoted to the syntactic analysis of spontaneous speech of aphasic patients. The spontaneous speech samples were kindly made available to me by Leo Blomert (Praeventiefonds project 28-1520-1). The syntactic category system I invented for the analysis has been implemented in an Oracle database by Kees van 't Veer (Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen). Corine Ballering and Dave Hoeffelt helped me as stagiaires on the research project. I thank HIL and NWO for the financial support to present my results on conferences in Groningen, San Diego (USA), Bressanone (Italy), Lisbon (Portugal) and Tempe (Arizona, USA).

During my research project I got help from many people who read my manuscripts, commented on my work, send me their own manuscripts or answered my questions about their work. I like to thank Sergey Avrutin, Roelien Bastiaanse, Hans Bennis, Leo Blomert, Dalia Cahana-Amitay, Marcel den Dikken, Naama Friedmann, Hiroko Hagiwara, Vincent van Heuven, Teun Hoekstra, Roel Jonkers, Mary-Ann Kemp, Gerard Kempen, Herman Kolk, Jan Kooij, Ingeborg Lasser, Lidia Lonzi, Martina Penke, Luise Springer and Frank Wijnen. My dissertation improved from their help. Mary-Ann Kemp helped me with my English.

Finally, I thank the people who were important in my personal life. My mother, who is just the sweetest mum of the world, and moreover, often took care of my son when I was in Leiden. It is with sadness that I mention my father, who died from the results of a severe stroke, a few months before the start of my project. My brother Roderick, who provided me with the motto "onder alle omstandigheden doorwerken". I thank Ronald for the positive way in which we are co-parenting.

All of my family and friends who have always been interested in my work. I mention Peter, who joined me to several conferences, and my friends from the Reading Club, Janneke, Caroline, Corrie, Ria, Linda and Maria, with whom I share my old love, literature. I especially thank my son Roman, who reminded me every day that life is not about writing a dissertation. Nevertheless, I wish for him to find a job in the future which he can do with as much pleasure as I did mine.

Table of contents

1 Introduction

1.1	Aphasia and agrammatism	1
1.2	Why linguists study agrammatism	5
1.3	How linguists should study agrammatism	10
1.3.1	Group studies versus single cases	10
1.3.2	Spontaneous speech versus controlled tests	11
1.3.3	Changes over time	13
1.3.4	Child language	14
1.4	About this dissertation	15

2 Method and patients

2.1	Tests	19
2.2	Patients	22
2.3	Syntactic analysis	26
2.4	Statistic analysis	29
2.5	Presenting data	29

Part I: The nominal domain

3 The nominal domain in Dutch

3.1	The DP Hypothesis	35
3.2	The nominal domain in Dutch	37
3.2.1	Functions and features	38
3.2.2	Determiners and quantifiers	39
3.2.3	Pronouns	42
3.2.4	Numerals and degree-of-cardinality quantifiers	44
3.2.5	Prepositions	48
3.2.5.1	Lexical prepositions dominated by functional structure	48
3.2.5.2	A functional status for (some) prepositions	49
3.2.5.3	Prepositions as functional heads	49
3.2.5.4	Conclusion	52
3.2.6	Summary and conclusions	53
3.3	Missing functional categories in the nominal domain	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.3.1	Determiners	53
3.3.1.1	Contexts for determiner omission in Dutch	54
3.3.1.2	Facilitating contexts for determiner omission in Dutch	57
3.3.1.3	The theoretical status of missing determiners	60
3.3.2	Pronouns	64
3.3.2.1	Pronominal contexts in Dutch	64
3.3.2.2	The theoretical status of missing pronouns	66
3.3.3	Prepositions	69
3.4	Summary and conclusions	70
4 Agrammatic speech: The case of patient HB		
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Nominal functional projections in the speech of patient HB	74
4.2.1	The presence of nominal functional projections	74
4.2.2	Missing nominal functional categories	76
4.2.2.1	Missing determiners	76
4.2.2.2	Missing pronouns	81
4.2.2.3	Incorrect realization of nominal functional features	84
4.2.2.4	Missing prepositions	86
4.2.2.5	Summary	86
4.3	Comparison to other speech	87
4.3.1	Normal speech	87
4.3.2	Agrammatic speech	90
4.3.3	Speech of Wernicke patients	93
4.4	Summary and conclusions	95
5 Analysis of the speech of patient HB: pronoun and determiner omission in agrammatic speech		
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Linear position	98
5.2.1	Pronoun omission	98
5.2.2	Determiner omission	101
5.3	Structural position	103
5.3.1	Determiner omission	103
5.3.2	Pronoun omission	105
5.3.3	Summary	106
5.4	General constraints on the omission of nominal functional structure	107
5.5	Cross-linguistic evidence	108
5.5.1	Empirical observations	108
5.5.2	Theoretical accounts	111
5.6	Summary and conclusions	113
6 Language recovery in the nominal domain		
6.1	Introduction	115
6.2	Relevant literature	115

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.2.1	An increasing number of determiners and pronouns	116
6.2.2	Decreasing determiner and pronoun omission rates	116
6.2.3	Increasing variation of determiner and pronoun types	117
6.3	Patient HB: no recovery	118
6.3.1	The total number of determiners and pronouns	118
6.3.2	Determiner and pronoun omission rates	119
6.3.3	Variation of determiner and pronoun types	121
6.3.4	Conclusion	124
6.4	Patient MK: the frequency effect	125
6.4.1	Determiner and pronoun omission rates	125
6.4.2	Variation of determiner and pronoun types	126
6.5	Patient SS: improvement in the realization of determiners	128
6.5.1	The realization of determiners and pronouns	129
6.5.2	Variation of determiner and pronoun types	129
6.6	Summary and conclusions	130
Part II: The verbal domain		
7 The verbal domain in Dutch		
7.1	Verbal functional projections	135
7.2	The absence of verbal functional categories	141
7.2.1	The Dutch data	142
7.2.1.1	Non-verbal main clauses	142
7.2.1.2	Main clauses with a non-finite verb	144
7.2.1.3	Summary	149
7.2.2	The literature	149
7.2.2.1	Maximal lexical projections	150
7.2.2.2	Truncated functional structure	154
7.2.2.3	Full functional structure	156
7.2.2.4	Default Case	160
7.2.2.5	Conclusions	162
7.2.3	The analysis of non-finite main clauses in Dutch	162
7.3	Reduced normal language and agrammatic speech	166
7.3.1	Normal English telegraphese	167
7.3.2	Comparing normal telegraphese and agrammatic speech	168
7.4	Summary and conclusions	171
8 Agrammatic speech: The case of patient GS		
8.1	Introduction	173
8.2	Verbal functional projections in the speech of patient GS	174
8.2.1	Non-verbal utterances	174
8.2.2	Non-finite utterances	177
8.2.3	Finite sentences	184
8.2.4	Summary	187
8.3	Comparison to other speech	188
8.3.1	Normal speech	188

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8.3.2	Agrammatic speech	191
8.3.3	Speech of Wernicke patients	193
8.4	Summary and conclusions	194

9 Analysis of the speech of patient GS: Root non-finites in agrammatic speech

9.1	Introduction	195
9.2	The literature on agrammatic speech	195
9.2.1	No functional structure	196
9.2.2	Truncated functional structure	197
9.2.2.1	Hagiwara (1995)	197
9.2.2.2	Friedmann & Grodzinsky (1997)	198
9.2.3	Full functional structure	200
9.2.3.1	Cahana-Amitay (1997)	200
9.2.3.2	Mc Entee (1993)	201
9.2.4	Summary	202
9.3	The literature on child language	202
9.3.1	Subjects in child root non-finites	203
9.3.2	Types of verbs in child utterances	205
9.3.3	Accounts of child root non-finites	206
9.3.3.1	Tense is missing	206
9.3.3.2	Tense has weak features	206
9.3.3.3	Tense hosts an empty auxiliary	207
9.3.3.4	Tense is semantically underspecified	207
9.3.3.5	Tense is unindexed	209
9.3.4	Summary	209
9.4	Root non-finites in agrammatic speech	209
9.4.1	Empirical evidence for functional projections	210
9.4.2	Theoretical account	211
9.4.2.1	A syntactically underspecified Tense	212
9.4.2.2	A dummy tense element in finite sentences	215
9.4.2.3	Other agrammatic patients: slightly revising the account	217
9.5	General constraints on omission of verbal functional structure	221
9.6	Summary and conclusions	222

10 Language recovery in the verbal domain

10.1	Introduction	225
10.2	Relevant literature	225
10.2.1	No recovery	226
10.2.2	Clear recovery	226
10.2.2.1	An increasing number of finite sentences	226
10.2.2.2	A decreasing number of root non-finites	227
10.2.2.3	Increasing variation of finite verb types	228
10.2.2.4	Summary	230
10.3	Patient GS: no recovery	230

TABLE OF CONTENTS

10.3.1	The number of finite sentences	231
10.3.2	The number of root non-finites	231
10.3.3	Variation of finite verbs	232
10.4	Patient SS: a similar pattern	233
10.5	Patient MK: clear recovery	234
10.5.1	An increasing number of finite sentences	234
10.5.2	A decreasing number of root non-finites	234
10.5.3	Variation of finite verbs	237
10.6	Summary and conclusions	238
Part III: Interaction between the nominal and verbal domain		
11 Interaction between the nominal and verbal domain		
11.1	Introduction	241
11.2	Relevant literature	242
11.2.1	Normal adult language	242
11.2.1.1	Determiner and pronoun omission: finiteness	242
11.2.1.2	Determiner and pronoun omission: linear position	243
11.2.1.3	Special registers	246
11.2.1.4	Conclusions	247
11.2.2	Agrammatic speech	248
11.2.3	Child language	250
11.3	The agrammatic patients	252
11.3.1	General pattern	253
11.3.2	Dissociations between the nominal and verbal domain	256
11.3.2.1	Patient GS	256
11.3.2.2	Patient MK	258
11.4	Summary and conclusions	259
12 Summary and conclusions		
12.1	Summary of the dissertation	263
12.2	Evaluating the aims of the dissertation	266
Appendix		269
References		289
Samenvatting in het Nederlands		297
Curriculum vitae		301

